

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

~~

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/049,374		04/17/2002	Marcus E. Carr, Jr	03230006AA	3657	
30743	7590	01/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	-	IS & CHRISTOFF	LEARY, LOUISE N			
11491 SUN SUITE 340		LS ROAD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
RESTON, VA 20190				1654		

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			T				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/049,374	CARR, JR ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Louise N. Leary	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Note to Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 October 2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)	•						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

1. Claims 1-1 are pending in this application.

- 2. (I) The rejection of claims 1-11 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-10 of U.S. Patent No. 5,293,772 has been maintained for reasons of record.
- (II) The rejection of claims 1-11 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 5-9 of U.S. Patent No. 5,205,159 has been maintained for reasons of record.
- (III) The rejection of claims 1-11 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-15 of U.S. Patent No. 4,986,964 has been maintained for reasons of record.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed October 4, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

At page 4 of the "REMARKS" submitted October 4, 2004, applicants have argued that "[The references describe a machine, and methodology for making measurements pioneered by the present inventor. However, the undersigned notes that there is no "overlap" as indicated by the Examiner.]". It is noted that each rejection of the claims under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting has been maintained for the reasons given below.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,374

Art Unit: 1654

(1) All the inventions claimed use the same active method step which measures clot elastic modulus and/or platelet contractile force.

- (2) All the methods claimed in the inventions require measurement of a control or standard to compare to the values obtained from a patient with atherosclerosis or identifying patients at risk of bleeding.
- (3) All the inventions disclose or address a treatment or monitoring method wherein a measurement on the blood sample is selectively determined by platelet contractile force or clot elastic modulus.

Further, it is noted that the statue states "The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b)."

To date applicants have not submitted a timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejections of the instant claims.

For the reasons given above the rejections of the claims have been maintained.

Art Unit: 1654

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louise N. Leary whose telephone number is (571)272-0966. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 10 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 1654

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Louise N. Leary
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1654

January 8, 2005